Stds 190-

G. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

File No. 353-10

Serial Nos. 1 & 2 & 3

1910

(Local Boards)

Later references. 11
Lds. 203-11
Stds 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2 & 3.

Part B for June, 1911

SUBJECT.

Exclusion of the Inam village of Hosapalya from the Amritur union, Kunigal Taluk.

1. From the Presdt, Dist Bd, Tumkur, No 465 S 13.5.11: Requests sanction to exclude from the limits of the Amritur union the inam village of Hosapalya and to refund to the Inamdar the amount of house tax collected hitherto since 1907-08 on the houses in the inam village.

2. To Dy Comptr, No 10498-9 S 23.6.11: Sanctions the above recommendations with certain modifications.

3. Notifn No 10500 S 23.6.11: Notifies the exclusion of the inam village sanctioned above.

No 10501 S 23.6.11: Forwards copy of the above to the Presdt Dist Board, Tumkur.

M. N. Anandalwar
30.8.11

GPB—5,000—22-10-16

G.R.S. 11]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(LB

NOTES.

File No. 353/10

FILE HEADING.

Exclusion of the Inam Village of Hosapalya from the Amritur Union, Kunigal Taluq

From

The President District Board, Tumkur

No. 465 Dated 13-5-1911 Received 22-5-11.

Diary No. 7525	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests Sanction to exclude from the limits of the Amritur Union the inam Village of Hosapalya and to refund to the Inamdar the amount of house tax collected hitherto since 1907-08 on the houses in the Inam Village

NOTES AND ORDERS.

It will be seen from the Amildars report that Government was not demanding Meratarfa tax from the residents of the Inam Village prior to its inclusion into the Amritur Village at the time of constituting it into a Union

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

I. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 353 of 1910–11

Seria No.

Hamdr. Moreover the Inam Village has not shared the benefits of the Union administration hitherto, since no arrangements were made for conserving and lighting it. The District Board Council cannot charge the amount of house tax collected from the Inam Village. But it is not clear whether these ryots were actually paying anything by way of Mohatarfa to the Inamdar before the introduction of the Union administration.

Please see the Inamdars deposition dated 1st March 1911.

7.

3) Whether the amount of house tax collected since 1907-08 should be paid to the Inamdar or refunded to the ryots in the Inam Village who paid the same to the Union authorities, seems to be a question for consideration. Submitted for orders.

K.P.(92) dmg
27-5-11.

4) The Inam village may be excluded from the Union. The Inamdar is clearly not entitled to the amount collected on account of house tax under the Local Board Regulation though he may be entitled to Mohatarfa. If

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

LVJ File No. 353 of 1910-11

Serial No.

therefore the Presidents recommendation be that the amount collected by way of house tax should be paid to the Iraunda it cannot be sanctioned
Please put up draft
30.5.11 Mle

5) Draft Submitted
KP(92) dtd 1/6 Cr) 6.6.11 Mle
1.6.11

3425/VB 6.6.11

6) The exclusion of the Village from the Union shd be notified in the Gazette.

7) The amount of house tax shd I think be refunded to the ryots the Maindar being left to collect the Mohatarfa direct

KP
13/6/11
MB

8) Drafts now put up are approved. Issue
15.6.11 Mle

Note 263 Part I in Gazette 29.6.11.

2?) Pres. Dt. Bd Tanjore & Collector No 10498-92 dt 23 June 11. Sanctioning the proposal to exclude the Iraun village from the Annual

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 353 of 1910

4

Serial No.	
	Union limits to depute the horse tax enacted and calls for a report re the talks
360	Completed July 10500 & 23 June 1 notifies the exclusion of the Cauvery village mentioned above
	Memo No 10501 & 23 June 11 to the Presidt & Bd Cuddalore forwd copy of No 203

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

W 275

190

From

The President of the
District Board,
Tumkur District

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mys
eneral and Revenue Departments.

Dated 13th May 1911

Despatched 20th May 1911.

Received

General No. 465

No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2 file

Requesting to accord sanction to exclude the Inam village of Jodi-Agrahar known as Hospalya out of the limits of the Amritur Union, Kunigal Taluk, and to the refund of the amou of Mohatarfa collected hitherto on th houses in the said Inam village unde the circumstances .

Office of the
President of the District Board,
Tumkur District,
Dated Tumkur 13-20th May 1911.

From,

M. Shama Rao Esqr., M.A.,
President of the District Board,
Tumkur District.

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that as per Government Order No. 8308-15/L.R.238-03-28 dated 16th March 1907, the village of Amritur in the Kunigal Taluk was constituted into a Union. Subsequently in 1909, the Inamdar of Kodi Agrahar known as Hospalya Inam village which is situated close to Amritur petitioned that the said Inam village has been wrongly included as a suburb of Amritur Union and that the Mohatarfa collected on the houses in the Inam village is being credited to the income of Amritur Union to the prejudice of his interests. Whereupon the Amildar of Kunigal was asked to report on the above allegations. It was reported that the Inam village was taken as a suburb of Amritur as its Kaneshumari accounts were being shown in the accounts of the Amritur village and as the Inam village has been exhibited in the village map of Amritur and that as such the Mohatarfa levied on the houses in the Inam village was credited to the income of Amritur Union. On a farther enquiry ordered, the Amildar of Kunigal has in his report No.238 dated 2nd March 1911 stated that the Inam in

to Mohatarfa, that the Inam village is situated at a distance of 2 miles from Amritur, that the Mohatarfa was levied on the houses in the Inam village by mistake, and that the Inam village has in no way been benefited by the levy.

2. This subject was before the District Board in their meeting held on the 26th April 1911 and a copy of their Resolution thereon is herewith forwarded.

3. From the records of enquiry relating to the subject in reference it is seen that a sum of Rupees 24/- has been levied annually on 23 houses in the Inam village since 1907-08 and that this sum properly belongs to the Inamdar in question. In these circumstances it is requested that sanction to exclude this Inam village out of the limits of the Amritur Union and to the refund of the amount of Mohatarfa collected hitherto on the houses in the said Inam village, may be kindly accorded.

4. The file of vernacular records connected with the subject is herein enclosed for perusal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Shamma Rao

PRESIDENT.

TBM.

2nd

Proceedings of the meeting of the members of the District Board, Tumkur, held on the 26th April 1911

Present .

M. Shama Rao Esq., M.A.,

President.

Ex-Officio members

	Present	Absent
1. Mr. A.K. Syed Taj Peeran , Treasury Assistant Commissioner and Vice President....	P	
2. " N. Madhava Rao , Gubbi Sub Division Officer	"	A
3. " C. Machiah , Maddagiri Sub Divisional Officer	P	"
4. " K. Ananthacharya , Tumkur Division Executive Engineer	"	A
5. " T.S. Nanjappa, District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Tumkur.District	"	A
6. " H.N. Venkataramaniah , Deputy Inspector of schools , Tumkur District.	"	A
7.		

Non- Official members

1. Mr. Adeppa bin appannachetty, Tumkur	P	"
2. " Mylarappa bin Kenchappa, Tumkur	P	"
3. " Suryanarayana Rao bin Mallappa, Tumkur	P	"
4. " G. Sivaramiah , Maddagiri	P	"
5. " K.B. Srinivasa Rao , Maddagiri	"	A
6. " Hange Gowda , Chiknayakanhalli	P	"
7. " Agali Venkataramiah, Sira, ...	P	"
8. " C. Srinivasa Rao , Pavagada	P	"
9. " Anjaneya setti , Pavagada	"	A
10. " H. Ramaswamiah , Tiptur	P	"
11. " Singri Nanjappa bin Chinnappa, Tiptur, ...	P	"
12. " K. Ramanuja Iyengar , Gubbi	P	"
13. " Sambhulingasetti , Gubbi	P	"
14. " Honnalige Gowda , Gubbi	"	A
15. " Singrachar , Kunigal	P	"
16. " Herur Gundappa , Kunigal	P	"
17. " Abidalli Sahib , Koratagere	P	"
18. " Veerasetti , Turvekere	P	"

X X X X X X X X X X

VII. Read Kunigal Taluk Amildar's report No 288 dated 2nd March 1911 in re the prayer of the Inamdar of Kodi Agrahar near Amritur Union to the refund of the mohatarfa tax levied on houses in the said Inam village .

Resolution:- The Mohatarfa in question properly belongs to the Inamdar and may be excluded from the Union income and the amount till now collected may be refunded as the Inam village has in no way been been benefited by the levy.

X X X X X X X X X

Sd/ M. Shama Rao

President.

"Copy"

M. Shama Rao.

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 353
Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to 7525

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(LB)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 23/6/11.

Signed by MrKnR

READ—

Letter no 1465 dated 13th May 1911 from the President District Board Tumkur requesting Sanction to exclude from the limits of the Amanikere Union, Kunigal Taluq, the Inam Village of Hosapalya included in it by mistake and to refund to the Inamdar the amount of house tax collected

over.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT.

in the inam Village Since the
Commencement of the year 1907-08
As he is entitled to the Mohatarfa
and as the Village has in no
way been benefited by the levy of
the house tax.

Order thereon 10498-9 dt 353-10. 4/23-6-11

The proposal to
exclude the Inam Village from
the limits of the Union is Sanctioned.
The amount of house tax
Collected hitherto should be
refunded to the inhabitants
of the Inam Village from whom it
was levied, the Inamdar being
left to collect the Mohatarfa dues
to him.

under the Local Board Regulation II of 1902 and the Rules thereunder

The President is requested to report the amount of house tax collected hitherto and to indicate the source from which the charge can be met.

for Secretary.

To
The Dy. Commr. & Presdt.
Dt. Bd. Dindigul returning
the Vinnamalai papers
in Compliance.

K.P.
15.6.11.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 35/10

Serial No. 3

505/16/6

Diary No. of letter replied to—

648/Tarikere/26/6

10500 LB353-10-

Dy 23-6-11

No. Notification

Local Board

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19

To

Under the L.B. Regulation

VI of 1902, Dist.

is hereby notified

for general information

that the Inam Village of

Hosapalya is excluded

from the limits of the

Amritur Union, Kunig

Taluq, ~~for the purpose of~~

~~Union administration and~~

~~the L B Regulation II of 1901~~

Date of issue 23.6.11

Signed by [illegible]

Date 28.3.11
Dept. 1.6
Mysore Gazette
29-6-11.

By order

[illegible]

For Secretary

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette

for publication with a request over

that 38 pane copies may be sent

SUBJECT.

to this office

8

8

GENERAL

No. 10500—*L. B.* 353-10-3, *dated* 23*rd June* 1911.

Under the Local Board Regulation, II of 1902, it is hereby notified for general information that the Inam village of Hosapalya is excluded from the limits of the Amritur Union, Kunigal Taluk.

By Order,

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Officiating Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments.